

Mr Jean-Claude Juncker  
President-elect of the European Commission  
European Commission  
CHARL 9/234  
Rue de la loi, 200  
1049 Brussels  
BELGIUM

Brussels, 11 September 2014

Ref 14/089

**Subject: New Commission sidelining environment**

Dear President Juncker,

I am writing to you as Chair of the Green 10, the alliance of leading environmental NGOs at EU level, supported by over 20 million EU citizens and active in all 28 Member States, **to express our grave concerns over the direction the EU seems to be taking with the announcement of your new team.**

The structure of the new Commission, the mission letters, and the choice of Commissioners all reveal a **serious downgrading of environment** and a roll back of EU commitments to sustainable development, resource efficiency, air quality, biodiversity protection and climate action.

This would represent a **betrayal of the interests of EU citizens**, a vast majority of whom feel strongly about the environment. The special Eurobarometer 416 from the 8 September 2014, shows that despite the economic crisis 95% of the 28.000 interviewed citizens said that protecting the environment is important to them personally and that more should be done.

It would also mean downscaling EU ambition precisely in one of the few areas where there is a broad consensus on the usefulness of EU action. And finally, it would destroy Europe's standing in the world as a pioneer and champion of tackling the global ecological crisis and switching to a green economy.

In particular we are concerned that:

- The move from a Commissioner with dedicated responsibilities for environment to having this policy area shared with other demanding dossiers represents a clear relegation of environmental issues in the order of political priorities. This is reinforced by the virtual **lack of any reference to environment in the responsibilities of the Vice-Presidents.**
- The mandate to the Environment, Maritime Affairs and Fisheries Commissioner seems entirely centered on **deregulation**, asking a review of all current major initiatives underway. It does not mention the need to achieve any EU objectives, let alone take new initiatives;
- The inclusion in the mandate of orders to consider changing the EU Nature protection legislation, pre-empting the results of the ongoing fitness check, **suggests a high level decision to weaken biodiversity protection in the EU.** This is even more troubling as the Environment portfolio is given to a Commissioner whose government is under intense international criticism for failing to implement EU bird conservation legislation, which the Commissioner will now be in position to amend.
- The merging of the climate and energy portfolios and putting this Commissioner under a Vice President for Energy Union could imply that **Climate Action is considered subordinate to energy market considerations.**
- The choice of a **Climate and Energy Commissioner with well-known links to the fossil fuel industry** raises issues of conflict of interest.

The Green 10 is chaired by BirdLife Europe

Stichting BirdLife Europe  
Avenue de la Toison d'Or 67 B -1060 Brussels  
Tel: + 32 2 280 08 30 Fax: + 32 2 230 38 02  
Email: [europa@birdlife.org](mailto:europa@birdlife.org) Website: <http://europa.birdlife.org>

- The shift of the responsibility for relations with the European Chemicals Agency, whose job is to protect European citizens from harmful chemicals, from DG Environment to DG Enterprise shows **a clear bias towards prioritizing business interests over protection of human health and the environment** and flies in the face of the objectives of the REACH Regulation.
- The fact that sustainable development, resource efficiency and the green economy are not covered at all at Vice-President level implies **a Commission that will be operating on the basis of an outdated paradigm of economic growth**, one that benefits the industries and jobs of the past over those of the future, and detached from real world constraints and limits.
- Your promise not to authorize any legislative initiative that is not in line with your priorities, combined with the virtual absence of any environmental priorities, suggests **a de-facto shut down of EU environmental policy making**

We believe these concerns must be addressed if the European Parliament is to approve this Commission, and more importantly, if this Commission is to respond to the needs and expectations of EU citizens of present and future generations.

Yours sincerely,



Angelo Caserta, Regional Director, BirdLife Europe

#### On behalf of the Green 10 EU Directors

Wendel Trio, Director – Climate Action Network (CAN) Europe

Anelia Stefanova, Director – CEE Bankwatch Network

Jeremy Wates, Secretary-General – European Environmental Bureau

Magda Stoczkiewicz, Director – Friends of the Earth Europe

Mahi Sideridou, Managing Director – Greenpeace European Unit

Genon K. Jensen, Executive Director – Health & Environment Alliance

Christian Baumgartner, Director, Naturefriends International

Jos Dings, Director – The European Federation for Transport and Environment (T&E)

Tony Long, Director – WWF European Policy Office



Partnership for  
nature and people



GREENPEACE

